UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,623	07/02/2004	Andrew MG Westcott	540-508 2994	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/05/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	AMAYA, CARLOS DAVID		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		0,623	WESTCOTT, ANDREW MG		
		ner	Art Unit		
	CARLO	OS AMAYA	2836		
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no s communication. num statutory period will apply an or reply will, by statute, cause the tooths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICAT be event, however, may a reply be ad will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contr	,	
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in conclused in accordance with the p 	2b)⊡ This action i lition for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters,		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)	_ is/are withdrawn from <u>8-42</u> is/are rejected. to.	consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to	by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a) accepted or objection to the drawing(sluding the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. quired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/500,623 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to amendments filed on 11/24/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyer (US 4,585,986) in view of Wilcox (US 5,847,554).

With respect to claims 1, 6 Dyer discloses a pulse width modulation switching circuit, responsive to a voltage demand signal, for controlling current supplied to an inductor from a direct (DC) supply voltage, said switching circuit comprising a bridge circuit (see figure 1), said bridge circuit comprising: an input operable to receive a direct current, DC (bank battery 7), supply of nominal voltage +VS (battery 7 supplies the voltage for the input), an output, said output having opposed ends (outputs are generated at opposed end points A and B); first and second bride arms, said arms having corresponding first and second switches (Switches 21 and 19 of first and second arms respectively connected to opposed ends to the output) operable in response to first and second switching signals to be switched between on and off states (controller 29 in conjunction with driver circuits 31 and 32 supplies the signal for the switches to

turn on and off, column 4 lines 24-37), wherein switching between various combinations of on and off states produces an electrical signal at the opposed ends of said output with voltage pulses at levels of nominally +VS, 0V and -VS (The turning on and off of the transistor produces a desired output as can be better seen in figure 3); controller 29 generates a signal to control the operation of the switches according to a demand signal.

Dyer, however, does not disclose expressly a voltage sensor for producing a signal indicative of said DC supply voltage; and a switching signal generator, responsive to said DC supply voltage signal and said voltage demand signal, for generating said first and second switching signals.

Wilcox discloses voltage sensing circuit 320 for sensing the voltage drop of the transistor, which is an indication of the current supplied by the regulator and the DC input Vin, see abstract. Figure 3 shows oscillator 104, latch 106, drivers 108 and 112, and sensing circuit 320 to control the switching signals provided to switches 342 and 344.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Dyer with the voltage sensor disclosed by Wilcox, col. 5 lines 1-42.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to avoid dissipative losses in current sensing elements and costly manufacturing process, col. 5 lines 37-42.

With respect to claims 9-11 Dyer in view of Wilcox disclose the switching circuit according to claim 1. Dyer discloses that the bridge circuit is a half-bridge with third and

Application/Control Number: 10/500,623

Art Unit: 2836

fourth arms having diodes (Column 3 lines 40-41, line 45). The first and second switches are transistors (Transistor switching device 19 and 21). Comprising an electromagnet connected across the output of the bridge circuit (Figure 1 Inductor 5).

Page 4

4. Claims 1,6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyer (US 4,585,986) in view of Kern (US 6,081,104).

With respect to claims 1, 6 Dyer discloses a pulse width modulation switching circuit (pulse timing circuits 51, 53), responsive to a voltage demand signal, for controlling current supplied to an inductor from a direct (DC) supply voltage, said switching circuit comprising a bridge circuit (see figure 1), said bridge circuit comprising: an input operable to receive a direct current, DC (bank battery 7), supply of nominal voltage +VS (battery 7 supplies the voltage for the input), an output, said output having opposed ends (outputs are generated at opposed end points A and B); first and second bride arms, said arms having corresponding first and second switches (Switches 21 and 19 of first and second arms respectively connected to opposed ends to the output) operable in response to first and second switching signals to be switched between on and off states (controller 29 in conjunction with driver circuits 31 and 32 supplies the signal for the switches to turn on and off, column 4 lines 24-37), wherein switching between various combinations of on and off states produces an electrical signal at the opposed ends of said output with voltage pulses at levels of nominally +VS, 0V and -VS (The turning on and off of the transistor produces a desired output as can be better

seen in figure 3); controller 29 generates a signal to control the operation of the switches according to a demand signal.

Dyer, however, does not disclose expressly a voltage sensor for producing a signal indicative of said DC supply voltage; and a switching signal generator, responsive to said DC supply voltage signal and said voltage demand signal, for generating said first and second switching signals.

Kern discloses a DC input voltage sensor 58 for sensing the input voltage and producing a signal to a controller 82; controller 82 in turn produces a signal to control the switches of the power converter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention disclosed by Dyer to include the voltage sensor 58 to supply a signal indicative of the DC supply, for the purpose of obtaining a desired output based on the available supply (col. 7 lines 42-47).

With respect to claims 9-11 Dyer in view of Kern disclose the switching circuit according to claim 1. Dyer discloses that the bridge circuit is a half-bridge with third and fourth arms having diodes (Column 3 lines 40-41, line 45). The first and second switches are transistors (Transistor switching device 19 and 21). Comprising an electromagnet connected across the output of the bridge circuit (Figure 1 Inductor 5).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,623 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

With respect to the argument that Wilcox voltage sensing circuit 320 does not meet the claim, please note that the sensor claimed only needs to produce a signal **indicative** of the supply voltage. As clearly shown in the connections of figure 3, voltage sensor 320 produces a signal indicative of Vin. Furthermore, as with the argument that the voltage drop across the transistors it is not sensed simultaneously, please see col. 4 lines57-67; col. 5 lines 61-67. Wilcox discloses voltage sensing circuit 320 for sensing the voltage drop of the transistor, which is an indication of the current supplied by the regulator and the DC input Vin, see abstract. Figure 3 shows oscillator 104, latch 106, drivers 108 and 112, and sensing circuit 320 to control the switching signals provided to switches 342 and 344.

With respect to the argument that "In Kern, the only reason for having a voltage sensor is to determine whether or not power is available". Please note that the sensor claimed only needs to produce a signal **indicative** of the supply voltage. Furthermore, Kern discloses that controller 82 provides PWM logic signals to the switches (see figures 1,5,11).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 13-20, 23-34 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 7,187,567. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because.

With respect to claims 13, 40, claims 1, 7, 12 of Patent (US 7,187,567) disclose the limitations of claims 13 and 40. NOTE: claim 7 teaches PWM, and claim 12 teaches generating the switching signals with reference to a voltage signal indicative of the DC supply voltage.

With respect to claim 14, claim 12 of Patent (US 7,187,567) disclose the limitations of claim 14.

With respect to claim 15, claim 13 of Patent (US 7,187,567) disclose the limitations of claim 15.

With respect to claim 16, claim 14 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 16.

With respect to claim 17, claim 15 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching. circuit as claimed in claimed 17.

With respect to claim 18, claim 16 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 18.

With respect to claim 19, claim 17 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 19.

With respect to claim 20, claim 25 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 20.

With respect to claim 23, claim 2 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 23.

With respect to claim 24, claim 3 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 24.

With respect to claim 25, claim 4 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 25.

With respect to claim 26, claim 5 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 26.

With respect to claim 27, combination of claims 1-6 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 27.

With respect to claim 28, claim 7 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 28.

With respect to claim 29, claim 18 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 29.

With respect to claim 30, claim 20 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 30.

Art Unit: 2836

With respect to claim 31, claim 1 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 31.

With respect to claim 32, claim 1 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 31.

With respect to claim 33, claim 23 of (US 7,187~567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 33.

With respect to claim 34, claim 24 of (US 7,187,567) discloses the switching circuit as claimed in claimed 33.

Please note: Claims 13, 27, and 40 and the claims that dependent on them would be allowable except for the double patent rejection above.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,623 Page 10

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARLOS AMAYA whose telephone number is (571) 272-8941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2836

/Albert W Paladini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836 2/27/09